

The Prop determines $\omega_\alpha^\beta, \tau^\beta$ uniquely.

We define a connection on \mathcal{V}

$$\nabla \zeta_\alpha = \omega_\alpha^\beta \zeta_\beta,$$

i.e. sections of \mathcal{V}

$$\nabla \text{ is a linear map } \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \wedge^1(\mathcal{M})$$

that satisfies Leibnitz rule $\nabla(u\zeta) = du \otimes \zeta + u \nabla \zeta$.

Def. ∇ is the Tanaka-Webster connection associated with the contact form θ .

- τ^α is the Tanaka-Webster torsion.
- $(M, \mathcal{V}, \theta, \nabla)$ is a pseudohermitian structure.
- ∇ acts on any tensor bundle on $T^{1,0}, T^{0,1}$ + duals.
- ω_α^β are the connection forms, and

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} d\theta &= ig_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta \\ d\theta^\alpha &= \theta^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta^\alpha + \theta^\alpha \tau^\alpha \\ dg_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= \omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \omega_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}, \tau^\alpha = A_{\bar{\mu}}^\alpha \theta^{\bar{\mu}}, A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = A_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \end{aligned} \right.$$

The curvature. The curvature form of the connection ∇ is given by

$$\Pi_\alpha^\beta = d\omega_\alpha^\beta - \omega_\alpha^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^\beta.$$

Prop (Webster)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\alpha^\beta = & R_{\alpha\bar{\nu}\mu}^\beta \theta^\nu \wedge \theta^\mu + W_\alpha^\beta{}_\gamma \theta^\gamma \wedge \theta - W_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^\beta \theta^\gamma \wedge \theta^\bar{\nu} \\ & + i\theta_{\alpha\bar{\nu}} \wedge \theta^\nu - i\theta_{\alpha\bar{\nu}} \wedge \theta^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{\alpha\bar{\nu}\mu}^\beta$ has Hermitian curvature

symmetries and $W_\alpha^\beta{}_\gamma = \nabla^\beta A_{\alpha\gamma}$.

Pf. See [Webster]. Diff. previously established structure eq's. \square

Def $R_{\alpha\bar{\nu}\mu}^\beta$ is the Tanaka-Webster pseudo hermitian curvature.

Recall that any other admissible coframe (preserving the Levi form $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$) is given by

$$\hat{\theta}^\alpha = t_\beta^\alpha \theta^\beta, \quad (t_\beta^\alpha) \in U(n, \mathbb{C})$$

Ψ -Hermitian curvature and torsion transform as tensors

$$\begin{cases} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\mu}} = \hat{R}_{\bar{\epsilon}\delta\bar{\nu}} t_\alpha^\delta \overline{t_\beta^\epsilon} t_\gamma^\nu \overline{t_\mu^\nu} \\ A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = A_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} t_\alpha^\nu \overline{t_\beta^\mu} \end{cases}$$

Representation theory \Rightarrow a Hermitian curvature tensor R decomposes under action of $U(n, \mathbb{C})$ as

$$R = \underbrace{B}_{\text{Bochner tensor}} \oplus \underbrace{R_{\text{Ric}}}_{\text{traceless Ricci component}} \oplus \underbrace{R(\Lambda)^2}_{\text{Scalar curvature component}}$$

$$\text{Ricci: } R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}{}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$$

$$\text{Scalar } R = R_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}{}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$$

$$\text{Traceless Ricci: } \overset{\circ}{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} R g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$$

Bochner:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\mu}} &= R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\mu}} - \frac{1}{n+2} (R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} + R_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ &+ R_{\nu\bar{\beta}} g_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} + R_{\nu\bar{\mu}} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) + \frac{R}{(n+1)(n+2)} (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} + g_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thm. (Chern, Moser, Webster)

Bochner \cong CR curvature

↑
From Moser normal form introduced earlier.

Thus, $B_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\mu}} \stackrel{\sim}{=} S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\mu}}$ and we recall

Thus (M, \mathcal{D}) is spherical ^{at $p \in M$} (locally CR diffeomorphic to $S^{2n+1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$) $\Leftrightarrow S_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\mu}} = 0$

$\forall q$ in an open nbhd of p .

An important problem is the context of S - ψ -CR mfd's (M, \mathcal{D}) .

We introduce the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -operator. It is associated to the CR structure but can be introduced in the context of a ψ -herm. structure. So let θ be a contact form, $(\theta, \theta^\alpha, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}})$ an admissible coframe w/ dual frame $(T, Z_\alpha, Z_{\bar{\alpha}})$. Decompose the connection form

$$\omega_\alpha^\beta = \omega_\alpha^\beta{}^\gamma \theta^\gamma + \omega_\alpha^\beta{}_{\bar{\mu}} \theta^{\bar{\mu}} + \omega_\alpha^\beta{}_0 \theta$$

The connection ∇ decomposes accordingly

$$\nabla_\alpha Z_\beta = \omega_\alpha^\delta{}_\beta Z_\delta \text{ etc.}$$

A $(0, q)$ -form on M is a form of the type

$$\Omega = \Omega_{\bar{\alpha}_1 \dots \bar{\alpha}_q} \theta^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{\bar{\alpha}_q}$$

where $\Omega_{\bar{\alpha}_1 \dots \bar{\alpha}_q}$ is a tensor field w/ appropriate skew symmetries

We define the $\bar{\partial}_b$ operator on $(0, q)$ -forms

$$C^{\infty} = \Lambda^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_b} \Lambda^{0,1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_b} \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_b} \Lambda^{0,n}$$

by $\bar{\partial}_b u = \nabla_{\bar{z}} u \otimes \bar{\theta}^{\bar{z}}$, $\bar{\partial}_b (f_{\bar{z}} \otimes \bar{\theta}^{\bar{z}}) = \nabla_{\bar{z}} f_{\bar{z}} \otimes \bar{\theta}^{\bar{z}} + f_{\bar{z}} \otimes \bar{\theta}^{\bar{z}} \otimes \bar{\theta}^{\bar{z}}$.

etc. It is convenient to consider $(0, q)$ -forms as tensor fields \Rightarrow

$$\bar{\partial}_b f_{\bar{z}} = \nabla_{\bar{z}} f_{\bar{z}} - \nabla_{\bar{z}} f_{\bar{z}} \otimes \bar{\theta}^{\bar{z}}$$

Problem. Develop theory of $\bar{\partial}_b$ on domains $G \subset M$ including estimates in suitable Sobolev (Folland-Stern) spaces, parallel to the theory on ψ -conv domains G in \mathbb{C}^N . Local

Application. \mathbb{C} -embedding problem: Given (M, \mathcal{D}) s.t. ψ -conv, $\dim M = n$. For $p_0 \in M$, can we embed (M, \mathcal{D}) near p_0 as a real hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} ?

Thm.

Kuramshi: Yes if $n \geq 9$.

Aharoni: Yes if $n = 7$.

Nreuberg: No if $n = 3$.

Open $n = 5$?